## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

MALINKA MOYE,

No. C 08-02124 SBA

Plaintiff,

ORDER

V.

[Docket No. 5]

LYDIA BACA,

Defendant.

On April 24, 2008, plaintiff *pro per* sued defendant. *See* Docket No. 1. Plaintiff's complaint simply stated he was suing defendant under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ("RICO") Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 *et seq*, the Uniform Fraudulent Transfers Act of 1996, the Uniform Fraudulent Conveyances Act, and perjury. Plaintiff provided no other allegations to support his listed claims. *See id.* This same day, plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (the "Application"). It was difficult to read and illogical. *See* Docket No. 3. For example, plaintiff alleged he had a monthly income of \$750,000, no expenses, yet received Supplemental Security Income from the Social Security Administration. *See id.* 

On April 30, 2008, the Court denied plaintiff's Application on the grounds his complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, and because his Application failed to sufficiently demonstrate he could pay or provide security for court costs and still provide himself and any dependents with the necessities of life. *See* Docket No. 5. Nonetheless, the Court gave plaintiff 30 days from April 30, 2008, to file a First Amended Complaint and an amended Application. *See id.* The Court warned plaintiff, however, if he failed to perform these tasks by this deadline, the Court would dismiss this matter without prejudice. *See id.* 

On May 1, 2008, Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton found plaintiff had filed in 2008, nine suits in the Northern District, some against the same defendants, and had failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted in any of them. *See* Docket No. 6. She also found plaintiff had been declared vexatious by the San Francisco Superior Court, where he had filed approximately 80 suits

since 2002. See id. On May 6 and May 9, 2008, three pieces of court mail addressed to plaintiff were returned to the Clerk's office as undeliverable. See Docket Nos. 7-9. On one envelope, someone had written not to send any more court mail to the address in question, and that they had a restraining order against plaintiff using this address, and would have him arrested if he appeared at it. See Docket No. 7. Because plaintiff failed to file a First Amended Complaint and an amended Application as ordered, within 30 days of April 30, 2008, and considering other subsequent developments in this matter, the Court DISMISSES this matter without prejudice. All matters calendared in this action are VACATED. The Clerk shall CLOSE the file and TERMINATE any pending matters. IT IS SO ORDERED. July 1, 2008 Saundra Brown Armstrong United States District Judge 

A party, pro per or otherwise, has a duty to inform the Clerk of their current address, and may suffer a dismissal for failing to do so. See N.D. Cal. L.R. 3-11.

	Case 4:08-cv-02124-SBA	Document 10	Filed 07/01/2008	Page 3 of 3	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE				
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
4					
5					
6	MALINKA MOYE,		Case Number: C'	V08-02124 SBA	
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		OF SERVICE		
8	V.				
9	LYDIA BACA et al,				
10	Defendant.				
11					
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.				
13	That on July 2, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's				
14					
15					
16					
17	Malinka Moye 40. 42. Parsons Street San Francisco, CA 94118				
18					
19	Dated: July 2, 2008				
20			Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					